

Received: 11 January 2024 • Revised: 02 March 2024 • Accepted: 16 March 2024

Research

doi: 10.22034/jcema.2024.202705

Mechanical Characteristics of Hardened Concrete with Different Types of Cement and Aggregate Available in Bangladesh

Asif Hossain Abir *

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Islamic University of Technology, Gazipur, 1704, Bangladesh.

*Correspondence should be addressed to Asif Hossain Abir, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Islamic University of Technology, Gazipur, 1704, Bangladesh. Tel: (+88) 1934772442; Email: asifhossain49@iut-dhaka.edu

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the results of an investigation into the influence of aggregate and binding material type on the mechanical properties of hardened concrete. To perform this investigation, four different types of coarse aggregate (Brick Aggregate, Recycled Brick Aggregate, Black Stone and Shingles) and eight types of binding material have been used. Aggregates were collected and prepared according to the grading requirements of ASTM C33-03. Several tests, such as specific gravity, absorption capacity, unit weight and abrasion resistance were performed for coarse aggregate. Cylindrical concrete specimens were made with different W/C ratios and cement contents. A total of 42 different cases were considered for testing. The specimens were tested for UPV, compressive strength, stress-strain curve and Young's modulus at the age of 28 days. The major objective of this study was to investigate the mechanical characteristics of concrete for different types of aggregate and binding materials. From the study it was found that, UPV of concrete specimens made with brick aggregate and recycled brick aggregate found lower than that of made with shingles and black stone. Black stone gave the best combination of high Young's modulus as well as high compressive strength to the concrete in comparison to others. Shingles gave the best combination of high UPV as well as high compressive strength to the concrete in comparison to others. Young's modulus and compressive strength of concrete increased with a decrease in the w/c ratio for any type of aggregate and increased with an increase in cement content.

Keywords: Mechanical Characteristics of Concrete Cement; Compressive Strength; Coarse Aggregate; Tensile Strength

Copyright © 2024, Asif Hossain Abir. This is an open access paper distributed under the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#). [Journal of Civil Engineering and Materials Application](#) is published by [ISNet](#); Journal p-ISSN 2676-332X; Journal e-ISSN 2588-2880.

1. INTRODUCTION

From an engineering perspective, strength performance is still the most significant characteristic of structural concrete. Research on the relationship between the mechanical properties of concrete and its composition has long

been of interest [1-4]. The properties of the coarse aggregate, mortar, and interface all affect the concrete's strength. Different types of coarse aggregate with varying strengths, mineralogy, shape, and texture may produce different concrete

strengths for the same quality mortar. However, with the development of high-performance concrete, where the aggregate plays a more significant role, the limitations of the water/cement ratio (W/C) concept are becoming more evident [5].

The strength of the concrete may be increased by using coarse aggregate with a higher strength and appropriate textural and mineralogical properties. Previous reports have examined the impact of certain coarse aggregate characteristics on the strength and elastic properties of high-strength concretes [6]. Concrete's properties can be directly impacted by aggregate strength, aggregate granulometry, grain shape, surface structure, and the presence of hazardous materials [7].

The strength of the mortar and the bond at the interface may be comparable to the strength of the coarse aggregate in high-strength concrete, which is typically manufactured with a W/C less than 0.4. Utilizing the coarse aggregate particles' full strength potential might be feasible in this situation. Concurrently, as concrete's strength increases, so does its brittleness, thereby restricting its application. Therefore, employing a coarse aggregate with reduced brittleness and increased strength, along with the right texture and mineralogical properties, could enhance the concrete's mechanical qualities [8].

Structures made of reinforced concrete must be able to tolerate extreme exposure in maritime environments and other similar settings. As a result, in addition to workability, the mix design procedures need to be revised to include mechanical properties as the primary criterion. Local porosity rises and overall strength falls as the amount of larger aggregate in the mix increases. Concrete's strength is increased and its pore structure is improved when the mix's average aggregate size is reduced [9]. The majority of the significant attributes of concrete that has hardened are associated with the quantity and nature of different kinds of pores in the concrete's aggregate and cement paste [10]. For instance, the quantity, type, and size of pores in concrete directly affect or control its engineering qualities, which include strength, durability, shrinkage, and permeability. While pore volume, size, and continuity have an impact on concrete permeability, the overall volume of pores determines the material's strength and elasticity. Capillary voids smaller than 50

micrometers, known as micro pores, are more closely associated with drying shrinkage and creep, while voids larger than 50 micrometers, known as macro pores, are thought to be harmful to strength and impermeability [11]. Because of their interactions with the components of concrete and the pore water, which can change the integrity of concrete both directly and indirectly and cause structures to deteriorate, the movement of gases, liquids, and ions through concrete is crucial [12]. The primary causes of this deterioration in reinforced concrete are chemical attacks, freeze-thaw attacks, and reinforcement corrosion. Due to this kind of deterioration, the cost of repairing structures has increased recently [12]. Therefore, durable concrete can be produced by resorting to mixes with a low average aggregate size.

The construction industry's high raw material consumption rates rank among the primary causes of pollution and environmental harm to the planet, as well as the depletion of natural and mineral resources. Thus, using recycled aggregate could be one of the major steps toward building a sustainable structure [13]. The major difference between natural aggregate and recycled coarse aggregate (RCA) is the adhered mortar at the surface of the RCA. It is a porous material and exhibits lower bulk density and saturated surface dry density, 1290–1470 kg/m³ and 2310–2620 kg/m³ respectively [14]. The lightweight aggregate's bulk density and the RCA's are similar. 10% more water is needed in the concrete when using RCA in place of natural coarse aggregate to produce the same slump. It is discovered that the concrete's compressive strength and RCA content are inversely correlated. However, this effect is minimal at low replacement levels, that is, less than 20% [15]. Few researchers could suggest appropriate mix design techniques for the RCA. Seldom do they provide a detailed description and analysis of how well a particular mix design method works for the RCA. Actually, the majority of them have only displayed the experimental program's mix proportions for concrete mixtures. On the other hand, some researchers have suggested that when the RCA is designed using the conventional method, similar to regular concrete; it can achieve a higher target strength by making adjustments like lowering the water-cement ratio. Nonetheless, the necessary quality for the concrete can be guaranteed by

modifying the replacement ratio and estimating the quality decline by the relative quality values [16].

The major objective of this study was to investigate the mechanical characteristics of concrete (slump value, compressive strength, flexural and splitting

tensile strength, Young's modulus and unit weight) for different types of aggregate and binding materials.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The properties of concrete can be changed with the change of aggregate type, water-cement ratio, sand-aggregate ratio and cement content. Compressive strength as well as the splitting tensile strength, the modulus of elasticity, ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV), workability of concrete, and percentage of air were studied in our research. There were four types of coarse aggregate: brick aggregate, recycled brick aggregate, black stone and shingles. Each aggregate had 13 cases with the variation of binding materials (OPC, CEM Type II B-M, CEM Type II B-S, CEM Type II B-S (40% OPC – 60% Slag), 40% OPC – 60% Slag(CEM-IIIA), 80% OPC – 20% Slag (CEM II/A-S), 70% OPC – 30% Slag (CEM II/B-S), 30% OPC – 70% Slag(CEM-IIIB), 15% OPC – 85% Slag (CEM-IIIC), W/C ratio (0.45, 0.50) and cement content (340 kg/m³, 400 kg/m³). A total of 42 cases were studied and 546 specimens were made.

We used brick aggregate, recycled brick aggregate, black stone and shingles as coarse aggregate. We used Sylhet sand as fine aggregate, which is commonly used in our country for construction

works. Coarse aggregates were collected from the local markets. For the ASTM requirements, various tests like specific gravity, unit weight test, absorption test, Los Angeles Abrasion test and sieve analysis were done for coarse aggregates. The Los Angeles abrasion test was done according to ASTM C131; the specific gravity and absorption tests were done according to ASTM C127 and the unit weight test was done according to ASTM C29. Fine aggregates were collected from the local markets. For the ASTM requirements various tests like specific gravity, unit weight test, absorption test, Los Angeles abrasion test and sieve analysis were done for fine aggregate. Fineness Modulus test was done according to ASTM C136, specific gravity and absorption tests were done according to ASTM C127 and unit weight test was done according to ASTM C29. Fineness Modulus of Fine Aggregate was 2.58. Overall results of aggregate testing are shown in [Table 1](#).

Table 1. Overall results of aggregate testing

Type of Aggregate		Specific gravity	% Absorption	Unit weight (oven dry) Kg/m ³	Unit weight (SSD) Kg/m ³	% Wear
Fine Aggregate	Sand	2.46	3%	1528	1574	
Coarse Aggregate	Black stone	2.82	1.5%	1528	1551	14%
	Shingles	2.65	1.1%	1656	1674	27%
	Recycled aggregate	2.14	22.4%	825	1004	43%
	Brick chips	1.98	10.32%	892	1076	39.5%

For casting we used Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC), CEM Type II B-M, CEM Type II B-S, CEM Type II B-S(40% OPC – 60% Slag),), 40% OPC – 60% Slag(CEM-IIIA), 80% OPC – 20% Slag(CEM II/A-S), 70% OPC – 30% Slag(CEM II/B-S), 30% OPC – 70% Slag(CEM-IIIB), 15% OPC – 85% Slag(CEM-IIIC). The cement content

for each test procedure was weighted very carefully. The workability of the concrete was tested by taking the slump value and void test. To carry out the slump test, standard procedure was adopted from ASTM C143. Percentage Air Entrancement was calculated following ASTM C231 & AASHTO T-152. Water that we used in

the concrete casting and for the curing of the concrete specimen was normal tap water that has a unit weight of 1000 kg/m³.

The size of the cylindrical mold was 4 inch in diameter and 8 inch in height. The cylinder was prepared very carefully. They were tightened and the inside surface was polished with lubricant. For lubrication grease was used. Materials were weighted carefully as mix design and coarse aggregates were soaked for 24 hours before casting. Before casting the aggregates were prepared to SSD condition. For the casting of concrete specimens, ASTM C31 was followed for standard procedure. We used mixture machine for casting of cylindrical specimen. Here the mixing procedure was slightly different than the normal procedure

followed in Bangladesh. compacted and scaled along the perimeter. Each specimen was compacted by two layers. In each layer, 25 blows as per ASTM specification were given for compaction. After compaction, scaling and hammering were done properly to avoid air voids and to get a smooth surface of the specimens. Specimens were covered for the first 24 hours with moist cloth and polythene to prevent water evaporation. After 24 hours, the specimens were demolded and placed in the water tub for final curing. After demolding, the concrete cylinders were completely immersed in water in the curing tub until the day of testing (28 days), according to ASTM C31. Different mix designs are shown in [Table 2](#).

Table 2. Mix Designs

	Type of Cement	Type of aggregate	W/C	s/a	Cement Content
1	OPC	Brick Aggregate	0.45	0.44	340
2			0.5		340
3			0.45		400
4			0.5		400
5		Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.45		340
6			0.5		340
7			0.45		400
8			0.5		400
9		Black Stone	0.45		340
10			0.5		340
11			0.45		400
12			0.5		400
13		Shingles	0.45		340
14			0.5		340
15			0.45		400
16			0.5		400
17	CEM Type II B-M	Brick Aggregate	0.45	0.44	400
18			0.5		
19		Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.45		
20			0.5		
21		Black Stone	0.45		
22			0.5		
23		Shingles	0.45		
24			0.5		
25	CEM Type II B-SL	Brick Aggregate	0.45	0.44	400
26			0.5		
27		Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.45		
28			0.5		
29		Black Stone	0.45		
30			0.5		
31		Shingles	0.45		
32			0.5		
33	40% OPC – 60% Slag(CEM-III-A)	Brick Aggregate	0.45	0.44	400
34			0.5		
35		Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.45		
36			0.5		
37		Black Stone	0.45		

38			0.5		
39	80% OPC – 20% Slag(CEM II/A-S)	Black Stone	0.45		
40	70% OPC – 30% Slag(CEM II/B-S)	Black stone	0.45	0.44	400
41	30% OPC – 70% Slag(CEM-IIIB)				
42	15% OPC – 85% Slag(CEM-IIIC)				

Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) test was done on the 28th day. Ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) was measured on unloaded wet specimens by using the Portable Ultrasonic Non-Destructive Digital Indicating Tester (PUNDIT) according to ASTM C597-02. The compressive strength of concrete was measured on the 28th day using a compressive strength testing machine according to ASTM C39. Before destructive tests capping was done properly to get a smooth surface for concentrated loading. The strain of concrete specimens was measured by a

strain measurement setup of length 100 mm with two dial gauges. The stress of concrete at strain level 0.0005 was used to determine Young's modulus of concrete. The split tensile strength of concrete was tested on the 28th day according to ASTM C496. The Universal Testing machine was used to perform this test. The failure surfaces of broken concrete specimens were also checked carefully after crushing the concrete cylinders to corroborate the findings of this investigation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter discusses the results of various tests performed on concrete cylinders. The slump value, percentage air entrapped, compressive strength, tensile strength, Young's modulus, UPV, and unit weight were calculated for each of the 42 mix designs. Finally, some relationships are proposed between the different mixing parameters and the materials used. These relationships can be used to

accurately measure the strength of concrete. The various aspects of these relationships, as well as their level of accuracy, are discussed at the end of this chapter. [Tables 3-6](#) display the overall results for slump value, percentage air entrapped, compressive strength, tensile strength, Young's modulus, UPV, and unit weight for all 42 mix designs.

Table 3. Results for OPC binder, cement content 340 kg/ cu.m and s/a ratio 0.44

Case No	Type of aggregate	W/C	Slump value (mm)	% entrapped air	compressive strength-28 days (MPa)	ft 28 days (MPa)	Young's Mod 28 days (MPa)	UPV (m/s)	Unit Weight (kg/cu. m)
1	Brick Aggregate	0.45	2.50	2.20	28.7	2.8	19054	3826	2135
2	Brick Aggregate	0.50	7.70	2.20	28.2	2.6	14332	3756	2129
5	Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.45	1.00	2.40	26.2	2.7	21237	3945	2174
6	Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.50	5.75	1.90	24.1	2.4	16410	3940	2141
9	Black Stone	0.45	1.05	2.50	32.4	3.0	33733	4690	2419
10	Black Stone	0.50	16.80	2.10	27.4	2.6	28349	4673	2476
13	Shingles	0.45	3.50	2.45	30.3	2.9	29525	4509	2368
14	Shingles	0.50	18.90	1.95	28.6	2.6	25787	4489	2359

Table 4. Result for OPC binder, cement content 400 kg/ cu.m and s/a ratio 0.44

Case No	Type of aggregate	W/C	Slump value (mm)	%entrapped air	compressive strength-28 days (MPa)	ft 28 days (MPa)	Young's Mod 28 days (MPa)	UPV (m/s)	Unit Weight (kg/cu. m)
3	Brick Aggregate	0.45	3.50	2.00	32.9	3.3	20273	3876	2140

4	Brick Aggregate	0.50	14.25	1.90	30.4	2.8	19382	3835	2135
7	Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.45	3.20	2.10	30.0	3.1	22086	3884	2154
8	Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.50	13.29	2.00	27.5	2.7	21351	3957	2176
11	Black Stone	0.45	7.30	1.70	35.1	3.5	37027	4709	2359
12	Black Stone	0.50	17.25	1.90	33.5	3.0	31749	4704	2385
15	Shingles	0.45	19.15	2.00	34.4	3.5	29762	4839	2380
16	Shingles	0.50	23.00	2.00	31.7	2.8	27231	4748	2362

Table 5. Result for CEM type-II BM binder, cement content 400 kg/ cu.m and s/a ratio 0.44

Case No	Type of aggregate	W/C	Slump value (mm)	%entrapped air	compressive strength-28 days (MPa)	ft 28 days (MPa)	Young's Mod 28 days (MPa)	UPV (m/s)	Unit Weight (kg/cu. m)
17	Brick Aggregate	0.45	9.39	1.80	26.1	2.7	16743	3913	2111
18	Brick Aggregate	0.50	18.37	1.80	23.3	2.4	15970	3814	2104
19	Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.45	7.50	2.10	24.6	2.7	20401	3966	2156
20	Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.50	16.50	1.80	22.5	2.4	18727	3929	2143
21	Black Stone	0.45	15.00	1.50	30.2	3.0	30925	4829	2414
22	Black Stone	0.50	22.50	1.45	25.1	2.6	23519	4753	2409
23	Shingles	0.45	19.50	1.70	26.4	2.8	26249	4630	2366
24	Shingles	0.50	22.50	1.50	23.7	2.5	22645	4521	2353

Table 6. Result for cement content 400 kg/ cu.m and s/a ratio 0.44

Case No	Type of Cement	Type of aggregate	W/C	Slump value (mm)	%entrapped air	compressive strength-28 days (MPa)	ft 28 days (MPa)	Young's Mod 28 days (MPa)	UPV (m/s)	Unit Weight (kg/cu. m)
25	CEM Type II B-M-SL	Brick Aggregate	0.45	17.00	2.40	24.1	2.5	15462	3613	1949
26		Brick Aggregate	0.50	24.00	2.30	21.5	2.2	14747	3522	1943
27		Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.45	17.50	1.90	22.7	2.5	18839	3662	1991
28		Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.50	22.00	1.50	20.8	2.2	17294	3628	1979
29		Black Stone	0.45	16.50	2.10	27.9	2.8	28558	4459	2229
30		Black Stone	0.50	18.00	1.60	23.2	2.4	21719	4389	2225
31		Shingles	0.45	21.95	2.20	24.4	2.5	24240	4276	2185
32		Shingles	0.50	24.20	1.60	21.9	2.3	20911	4175	2173
33	40% OPC – 60% Slag(CEM-III A)	Brick Aggregate	0.45	22.80	2.20	25.4	2.6	16301	3810	2055
34		Brick Aggregate	0.50	23.50	2.00	22.7	2.3	15548	3713	2048
35		Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.45	9.50	2.20	24.0	2.6	19862	3861	2099
36		Recycled Brick Aggregate	0.50	20.00	2.10	22.0	2.3	18233	3825	2086
37		Black Stone	0.45	16.00	1.50	29.4	2.9	30109	4701	2350
38		Black Stone	0.50	21.50	1.50	24.4	2.5	22898	4627	2345

39	80% OPC – 20% Slag(CEM II/A-S)	Black Stone	0.45	18.25	1.80	30.7	3.1	31409	4904	2452
40	70% OPC – 30% Slag(CEM II/B-S)	Black Stone	0.45	15.75	1.60	30.0	3.0	30671	4789	2394
41	30% OPC – 70% Slag(CEM-IIIB)	Black Stone	0.45	20.25	1.50	28.8	2.9	29401	4591	2295
42	15% OPC – 85% Slag(CEM-IIIC)	Black Stone	0.45	10.00	1.60	27.4	2.7	28057	4381	2190

The tensile strength is one of the basic and important properties of concrete. Concrete is not usually expected to resist direct tension because of its low tensile strength and brittle nature. However, the determination of tensile strength of concrete is

necessary to calculate the load at which the concrete members may crack. The cracking is a form of tension failure. [Figure 1](#) depicts the tensile strength of concrete made with various aggregates and binders for two different types of w/c ratios.




Figure 1. Split tensile strenght for different types of aggregates and binders

[Figure 1](#) shows that, tensile strength increased with the decrease of the w/c ratio. For black stone the tensile strength was found to be maximum. For CEM type-II BM tensile strength was found to be lower compared to OPC cement for all types of

aggregate. Tensile strength also increased with the increase of cement content. But for black stone, the tensile strength of concrete was found to be always higher in all cases.

3.2 Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV)

An ultrasonic pulse velocity test is an in-situ, nondestructive test to check the quality of concrete

and natural rocks. In this test, the strength and quality of concrete are assessed by measuring the

velocity of an ultrasonic pulse passing through a concrete structure. This test is conducted by passing a pulse of ultrasonic wave through concrete to be tested and measuring the time taken by the pulse to get through the structure. Higher velocities indicate

good quality and continuity of the material, while slower velocities may indicate concrete with many cracks or voids. Ultrasonic pulse velocity of concrete using different aggregates and binders for two different types of w/c ratios is shown in [figure 2](#).




Figure 2. UPV for different types of aggregates and binders

[Figure 2](#) indicates that UPV increased as the w/c ratio decreased, with black stone and shingles having the highest UPV. For CEM type-II BM UPV was found to be almost the same compared to OPC

cement for all types of aggregate. UPV increased with the increase in cement content. We achieved a higher UPV by using black stone and shingles as aggregates instead of brick and recycled brick.

3.3 Compressive Strength

Strength of hardened concrete is measured by the compression test. The compression strength of concrete is a measure of the concrete's ability to resist loads that tend to compress it. The compressive strength of concrete depends on many factors, such as water-cement ratio, cement strength, quality of concrete material, quality control during

production of concrete etc. The relationship between compressive strength and w/c ratio for different aggregate types and binders with cement content 400 kg/m³ is shown in [figure 3](#). [Figure 4](#) illustrates the relationship between compressive strength and cement content for different aggregate types and OPC type cement.





Figure 3. Compressive strenght vs w/c for different aggregates




Figure 4. Compressive strenght vs cement content for different aggregates

From [figure 3](#), we can see that compressive strength increased with a decrease in the w/c ratio and for black stone, the compressive strength was maximum for both OPC and CEM type-II BM. For CEM type-II BM compressive strength was found to be lower

for all types of aggregate compared to OPC cement. From [figure 3](#), we can see that compressive strength also increased with the increase of cement content. But for black stone, the compressive strength of concrete was found to always be higher in any case.

3.4. Young's Modulus

Young's modulus has been found out for concrete specimens made with each of the aggregates tested in order to analyze their behavior under applied stress. As Young's modulus is an indicator of how elastic the concrete is, it is thus very important in

order to understand the behavior of concrete made with a particular type of aggregate. [Figure 5](#) represents the Young's modulus of concrete made using various aggregates and binders at two different w/c ratios.




Figure 5. Young's modulus for different aggregates and binders

Young's modulus increased as the w/c ratio decreased, as shown in [figure 5](#), and it reached its maximum for black stone. For CEM type-II BM Young's modulus was found to be less compared to OPC cement for all types of aggregate. Young's

modulus increased with the increase in cement content. By using black stone, we got a higher Young's modulus than brick and recycled brick as aggregates for all types of cases.

3.5. Unit weight

The unit weight of concrete is primarily affected by the unit weight of the aggregate, which varies by geographical location and increases with concrete

compressive strength depending on the added pozzolans. Variations in unit weights are mainly because of the greater specific gravity of one

aggregate than the others. The highest variation in unit weight of similar concrete for aggregate to aggregate variation is observed in 28 days when the concrete tried to achieve almost 80%-85% of its

strength, indicating most of the hydration is done within this time. Figure 6 illustrates the unit weight of concrete for two different types of w/c ratios using various aggregates and binders.




Figure 6. Unit weight for different aggregates and binders

From figure 6, we can see that unit weight increased with a decrease in the w/c ratio and for black stone, unit weight was the maximum. For CEM type-II BM unit weight was found to be less compared to OPC cement for all types of aggregate. Unit weight

almost remained the same with the increase in cement content. By using black stone, we got a higher unit weight than brick and recycled brick as aggregates for all types of cases.

3.6. Workability

It is customary to know how much workable the concrete will be prior to casting. As many times it presents a problem during casting in congested areas like beam-column joints, a preliminary idea is necessary about the workability of concrete. W/C

ratio plays an important role in this regard, but aggregates have the most significant effect. Figure 7 depicts the slump value of concrete made with various aggregates and binders for two different types of w/c ratios.




Figure 7. Slump value for different aggregates and binders

From figure 7, we can see that workability increased with an increase in the w/c ratio and for shingles, workability was maximum. For CEM type-II BM workability was found to be high compared to OPC cement for all types of aggregate. Workability

increased with the increase in cement content. By using shingles, we got higher workability than brick and recycled brick as aggregates for all types of cases.

3.7. Air entrainment

The air-void system created by using air-entraining agents in concrete is also influenced by concrete materials and construction practice. Concrete materials such as cement, sand, aggregates, and other admixtures play an important role in maintaining the air-void system in concrete. Fine aggregate serves as a three-dimensional screen and

traps the air; the more median sand there is in the total aggregate, the greater the air content of the concrete will be. Mineral admixtures such as fly ash and silica fume also affect the formation of void systems in concrete. The percentage air entrainment of concrete with different binders and aggregates for two different w/c ratio types is shown in [Figure 8](#).




Figure 8. Percentage air entrainment for different concrete mix



From [figure 8](#), we can see that percentage air entrainment increased with a decrease in w/c ratio and for brick aggregate, percentage air entrainment was maximum for CEM type- II BM. For CEM

type-II BM percentage air entrainment was found to be high compared to OPC cement for brick aggregate. Percentage air entrainment decreased with the increase in cement content.

3.8. Regression Analysis

The relationship between compressive strength of concrete and ultrasonic pulse response behavior of concrete for different types of aggregate is illustrated in [figure 9](#). R² indicates how close the data are to the fitted regression line. From all the graphs, we can see that for shingles we got the higher value of R², which means for shingles the UPV vs compressive strength points are closer to a linear line than other aggregates. A higher UPV

value means a higher elastic modulus, density and integrity of the concrete. A higher compressive strength value means higher resistance to compressive load. Both high values of these two are expectable for sound concrete. Shingle gave the best combination of high UPV as well as high compressive strength to the concrete in comparison to others. For brick aggregate, we observed the worst case. Therefore, shingles has the higher significance.






Figure 9. UPV vs compressive strength

Figure 10 depicts the relationship between compressive strength of concrete and Young's modulus of concrete for various aggregates. R^2 indicates how close the data is to the fitted regression line, while f_c' represents the compressive strength of concrete. All of the graphs show that black stone has the highest R^2 value, indicating that its Young's modulus vs compressive strength points are closer to a linear line than other aggregates. A higher Young's modulus indicates that a material is

better able to withstand changes in dimension when under tension or compression. A higher compressive strength value indicates greater resistance to compressive loads. Both high values are expected for sound concrete. Compared to other materials, black stone provided the best combination of high Young's modulus and compressive strength to the concrete. On the other hand, we saw the worst-case scenario with brick aggregate.




Figure 10. Young's modulus vs compressive strength

Figure 11 represents the relationship between the compressive and tensile strengths of concrete. R^2 indicates how close the data is to the fitted regression line, while f_c' represents the compressive strength of concrete. From all of the graphs, we can see that brick aggregate has a higher

R^2 value, indicating that its tensile strength vs compressive strength points are closer to a linear line than other aggregates. A higher tensile strength indicates that a material is better able to withstand tension. A higher compressive strength value indicates greater resistance to compressive loads.

Both high values are expected for sound concrete. When compared to other materials, brick aggregate provided the best combination of high tensile and

compressive strength to concrete. For shingles, we saw the worst-case scenario.







Figure 11. Tensile strength vs compressive strength

Based on the results of this experimental investigation the following conclusions are drawn:

The specific gravity of black stone found higher than shingles. So we can say, black stones are more dense than shingles.

As the value of % wear of shingles was greater than that of black stone, it can be said that shingles are harder than black stone.

The abrasion value of recycled brick aggregate was the highest, which means it was the weakest of the aggregates investigated.

Slump value increased with an increase in the W/C ratio of the mix for any type of aggregate.

Percentage air entrainment in concrete decreased with an increase in the W/C ratio for any type of concrete. For brick aggregate, the percentage air entrainment was maximum.

The UPV of a concrete specimen made with brick aggregate and recycled brick aggregate appeared to be lower than that of concrete made with shingles and black stone.

Split Tensile Strength was found to be higher for concrete made with OPC and it increased with a decrease in the W/C ratio.

Black stone gave the best combination of high Young's modulus as well as high compressive strength to the concrete in comparison to others.

Shingle gave the best combination of high UPV as well as high compressive strength to the concrete in comparison to others. For brick, we observed the worst-case scenario.

Young's modulus and compressive strength of concrete increased with a decrease in the w/c ratio for any type of aggregate and increased with an increase in cement content.

Unit weight increases with decreased of w/c ratio and for black stone Unit weight is maximum. For CEM type-II BM unit weight was found to be less compared to OPC cement for all types of aggregate. Unit weight almost remained the same with the increase in cement content.

CO₂ emissions can be decreased by using alternative binders, such as fly ash, slag, or

geopolymer cement. The results of this study show that binders such as CEM Type II B-M and CEM Type II B-S, which are a mixture of OPC cement and additives such as fly ash and slag, can produce concrete that has almost similar strength to concrete produced by OPC cement.

Among the numerous natural resources required in significant quantities to produce concrete are sand,

gravel, and limestone. Over extraction may lead to resource scarcity and environmental degradation. Recycled concrete aggregates are one example of an alternative resource that can reduce the need for virgin materials. The study's findings demonstrate that concrete made with recycled aggregates can have sufficient strength for construction purposes.

4. CONCLUSION

The primary goal of this study was to conduct a comparative analysis of the various parameters associated with the mechanical properties of concrete using various types of aggregates and binding materials. The author was able to determine the relationship between various mechanical properties of concrete made with different types of aggregates and binding materials in this study. According to the study, the UPV of concrete specimens made with brick aggregate and recycled

brick aggregate was lower than that of shingles and black stone. Compared to other materials, black stone provided the best combination of high Young's modulus and compressive strength to the concrete. Shingles provided the best combination of high UPV and high compressive strength to the concrete when compared to others. Concrete's Young's modulus and compressive strength increased as the w/c ratio decreased for any type of aggregate and increased with cement content.

FUNDING/SUPPORT

Not mentioned any Funding/Support by authors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful for the access to state-of-the-art laboratories, research materials, and technological resources offered by Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Islamic University of Technology. These resources have been instrumental in conducting experiments, gathering data, and analyzing findings. The research environment provided by Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Islamic University of Technology has fostered an atmosphere of innovation and collaboration, allowing for the pursuit of academic excellence.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author (s) declared no potential conflicts of interests with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Özturan T, Çeçen C. Effect of coarse aggregate type on mechanical properties of concretes with different strengths. Cement and concrete research. 1997 Feb 1;27(2):165-70. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).
- [2] Kaplan MF. Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity, Dynamic Modulus of Elasticity, Poisson's Ratio and the Strength of Concrete Made with Thirteen Different Cements' Aggregate. Rilem Synpo. Paris. 1959. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).
- [3] Grills, F.* & Alexander M. Stiffness of concrete made with granite and andesite aggregates. Civil Engineering= Siviele Ingenieurswese. 1989 Sep 1;1989(9):273-86. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).
- [4] Alexander, MG & Davis DE. The influence of aggregates on the compressive strength and elastic modulus of concrete. Civil Engineering= Siviele Ingenieurswese. 1992 May 1;1992(5):161-70. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).
- [5] Patowary F, Al Mahmood R. Effect of local coarse aggregate type on concrete mechanical properties in Bangladesh. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology. 2022;11(4):104-7. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[6] Malhotra VM, Chugh YP. Industry-Government-University Cooperative Research Program for the Development of Structural Materials from Sulfate-Rich FGD Scrubber Sludge. West Virginia Univ., Morgantown, WV (United States); 2003 Aug 31. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[7] Tunç ET. Strength properties of hardened concrete produced with natural aggregates for different water/cement ratios. *Avrupa Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi*. 2018(14):280-7. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[8] Wu KR, Chen B, Yao W, Zhang D. Effect of coarse aggregate type on mechanical properties of high-performance concrete. *Cement and concrete research*. 2001 Oct 1;31(10):1421-5. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[9] Basheer L, Basheer PA, Long AE. Influence of coarse aggregate on the permeation, durability and the microstructure characteristics of ordinary Portland cement concrete. *Construction and building materials*. 2005 Nov 1;19(9):682-90. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[10] Jiang ZL, Pan YJ, Lu JF, Wang YC. Pore structure characterization of cement paste by different experimental methods and its influence on permeability evaluation. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 2022 Sep 1;159:106892. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[11] Sicakova A, Draganovska M, Kovac M. Water absorption coefficient as a performance characteristic of building mixes

containing fine particles of selected recycled materials. *Procedia engineering*. 2017 Jan 1;180:1256-65. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[12] Basheer L, Kropp J, Cleland DJ. Assessment of the durability of concrete from its permeation properties: a review. *Construction and building materials*. 2001 Mar 1;15(2-3):93-103. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[13] Zhou C, Chen Z. Mechanical properties of recycled concrete made with different types of coarse aggregate. *Construction and Building Materials*. 2017 Mar 1;134:497-506. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[14] Kwan WH, Ramli M, Kam KJ, Sulieman MZ. Influence of the amount of recycled coarse aggregate in concrete design and durability properties. *Construction and building materials*. 2012 Jan 1;26(1):565-73. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[15] Alqarni AS, Abbas H, Al-Shwikh KM, Al-Salloum YA. Treatment of recycled concrete aggregate to enhance concrete performance. *Construction and Building Materials*. 2021 Nov 8;307:124960. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).

[16] Eguchi K, Teranishi K, Nakagome A, Kishimoto H, Shinozaki K, Narikawa M. Application of recycled coarse aggregate by mixture to concrete construction. *Construction and Building Materials*. 2007 Jul 1;21(7):1542-51. [\[View at Google Scholar\]](#); [\[View at Publisher\]](#).